

$X$  a topological space  
 $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of abelian groups on  $X \rightsquigarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

SES  $\rightarrow$  LES

Given  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  exact seq of sheaves on  $X$ .

We get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(K) & \rightarrow & H^0(M) & \rightarrow & H^0(N) \\ & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow \\ & & H^1(K) & \rightarrow & H^1(M) & \rightarrow & H^1(N) \\ & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow \\ & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots \end{array}$$

Construction of the boundary homomorphism  $H^0(N) \rightarrow H^1(K)$   
 Given  $n \in H^0(X, N)$ ,  $\exists$  open cover  $\{U_i\}$  and  $m_i \in M(U_i)$  such that

$$m_i \mapsto n|_{U_i}$$

Consider

$$K_{ij} = m_i - m_j \in K(U_{ij})$$

Then  $K_{ij}$  defines a 1-cocycle of  $K$ .

The boundary hom. is given by  
 $n \mapsto (K_{ij})$

- Connections with de Rham / Singular cohomology
- Vanishing theorems
- Back to divisors and line bundles.

Def: A sheaf  $G$  is called acyclic :-

$$H^i(X, G) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

Acyclic resolutions: Suppose  $F$  is a sheaf &

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} G_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} G_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is an exact seq. of sheaves where  $G_i$  are acyclic. Then

$$H^n(F) = H^n [ H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^0(G_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \dots ]$$

Pf:  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow \text{Im } d_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{---} \textcircled{*}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Im } d_0 \\ \parallel \\ F_1 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^0(F) = \text{Ker} (H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^0(F_1))$$

but  $F_1 \subset G_1$  so  
 $H^0(F_1) \subset H^0(G_1)$ .

$$\text{Hence } H^0(F) = \text{Ker} (H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^0(G_1)).$$

Also  $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow \dots$

We have the LES:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(F) & \rightarrow & H^0(G_0) & \rightarrow & H^0(F_1) \\ & & & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^1(F) & \rightarrow & H^1(G_0) & \xrightarrow{0} & H^1(F_1) \\ & & & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^2(F) & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } H^1(F) &= \text{Coker} (H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^0(F_1)) \\ &= \frac{\text{Ker} (H^0(G_1) \rightarrow H^0(G_2))}{\text{Im} (H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^0(G_1))} \end{aligned}$$

Also from LES:

$$H^i(F) = H^{i-1}(F_1) \quad \forall i \geq 2$$

Note:  $0 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \dots$

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

So we get  $H^n(F) = H^n(H^0(G_0) \rightarrow H^1(G_1) \rightarrow \dots)$   
by induction on  $n$ .

□

### de Rham Cohomology

$X$  a real manifold of dim  $n$ .

$C_x^\infty =$  Sheaf of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $X$

$C^\infty(\Omega_x) =$  Sheaf of  $C^\infty$  1-forms on  $X$

$$\sum f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_i$$

$\hookrightarrow C^\infty$

$C^\infty(\wedge^2 \Omega_x) =$  Sheaf of  $C^\infty$  2-forms on  $X$

$$\sum f_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$$

⋮

$C^\infty(\wedge^n \Omega_x) =$  Sheaf of  $C^\infty$   $n$ -forms.

We have a map

$$d: C^\infty(\wedge^i \Omega_x) \rightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^{i+1} \Omega_x)$$

$$f dx_{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{a_i} \mapsto \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_b} dx_b \wedge dx_{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{a_i}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow C_x^\infty \xrightarrow{d} C_x^\infty(\Omega_x) \xrightarrow{d} C_x^\infty(\Lambda^2 \Omega_x) \rightarrow \dots$$

This is an exact sequence of sheaves on  $X$

Claim:  $C_x^\infty(\Lambda^i \Omega_x)$  are all acyclic.

$$\Rightarrow H_{\text{cech}}^i(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}) = H^i \text{ of}$$

$$\underbrace{H^0(C_x^\infty) \rightarrow H^0(C_x^\infty(\Omega_x)) \rightarrow \dots}$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, for manifolds,  $\text{Cech} = \text{de Rham}$ .

Pf of Claim: Let's prove it for  $F = C_x^\infty$ .

Given  $\{U_i\}$  and  $f_{ij} \in F(U_{ij})$ ,  $\partial f_{ij} = 0$ .  
Want to show it's a boundary.

Let  $\{\lambda_i\}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U_i\}$ .

$$\text{Let } g_j = \sum_k \lambda_j \cdot f_{jk} \in$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } g_j - g_i &= \sum_k \lambda_k \underbrace{(f_{jk} - f_{ik})}_{f_{ij}} \\ &= f_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Same proof: -

Let  $F$  be a sheaf of  $C_x^\infty$ -modules.

Then  $F$  is acyclic

e.g.  $C_x^\infty(\Lambda^i \Omega_x)$  or  $C_x^\infty(V)$ .

Also turns out, for any ab gp  $R$ ,  $X$  locally contractible

$$\check{H}^i(X, \underline{R}) = H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, R)$$

Pf idea: Construct  $C^i =$  sheaf of singular  $R$ -chain cochains

Get a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{R} \rightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{\partial} C^1 \xrightarrow{c^2} \dots \rightarrow$$

Show  $C^i$  are acyclic. Then

$$\check{H}^i(X, \underline{R}) = H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, R).$$

Cor:  $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{R}) = H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{R})$   $\square$  for  $X$  a manifold.

Which open cover should I take?

$F$  a sheaf on  $X$ ,  $U = \{U_i\}$  an open cover such that

$F|_{U_i}$  is an acyclic sheaf on  $U_i \forall i$ .

Then  $H^i(X, F) = H_U^i(X, F)$ .

Pf: Prelim -  $i: V \subset X$  &  $G$  a sheaf on  $V$ .

We get a sheaf

on  $X$ :  $i_* G$  "extension by 0"

$$i_*(G)(U) = G(U \cap V).$$

"Sheafity Čech coh"

Given  $\{U_i\}$ . Consider

$$\begin{array}{l} F \\ \downarrow \\ G_0 = \prod i_{\#}(F|_{U_i}) \\ G_1 = \prod i_{\#}(F|_{U_{ij}}) \\ \vdots \end{array} \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \partial \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \partial$$

i.e.  $G_i(V) = C^i(V, F|_V, \{U_i \cap V\})$

Claim: This is an exact seq. of sheaves.

L

Pf: Given  $\sigma \in G_i$  around  $p$   
with  $\partial\sigma = 0$ .

Want  $\tilde{\sigma}_{a_1, \dots, a_i}$ . Have  $\sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}}$ . Set

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{a_1, \dots, a_i} = \sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_i, j}.$$

$$\text{Then } (\partial \tilde{\sigma})_{a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}} = \sum \tilde{\sigma}_{a_1, \hat{a}_k, a_{i+1}} (-1)^k$$

$$= \sum \sigma_{a_1, \hat{a}_k, a_{i+1}, j} (-1)^k$$

$$= \sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}}.$$

□

By construction  $G_i$  are acyclic.

so claim follows.

Vanishing Theorems :-  $X$  a complex manifold.

Recall, given a v.b.  $V \rightarrow X$ , we have a sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(V) =$  Sheaf of hol. sections of  $V$ .

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(V)) =: H^i(X, V).$$

① dim vanishing:

$$H^i(X, V) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$$

② Finite dim:  $X$  compact.

$\Rightarrow H^i(X, V)$  is a fin dim  $\mathbb{C}$ -v.space

③ Serre/Kodaira Vanishing

$X$  projective ( $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ )

$$L = \mathcal{O}(1)|_X.$$

Serre:  $H^i(X, V \otimes L^n) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0$  &  
sufficiently large  $n$ .

Kodaira:  $H^q(X, \wedge^p \Omega \otimes L) = 0$  if  $p+q > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$

in particular

$$H^i(X, K_X \otimes L) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0.$$