

The Closed Image Property of Projective Varieties

Separatedness plays the role of Hausdorffness for algebraic varieties.

What is the analog of compactness?

Def: (Universally closed)

A variety X is universally closed if for every Y , the projection

$$\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

is a closed map. That is, π must map closed sets to closed sets.

Non-ex: \mathbb{A}^1 is not universally closed.

$$V(xy-1) \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

↓ image

$$\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^1$$

↑
Not closed.

Prop: X universally closed, Y separated.

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ a map.

Then $f(X) \subset Y$ is closed.

Pf: Consider the graph

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\} \subset X \times Y.$$

Γ_f = Preimage of $\Delta \subset Y \times Y$ under
the map $(f, \text{id}) : X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$.

Since Y is separated, $\Delta \subset Y \times Y$
is closed, so $\Gamma_f \subset X \times Y$ is closed.

But $f(X) = \pi(\Gamma_f)$, where
 $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the projection.

So $f(X) \subset Y$ is closed.

Rem: Easy exercise $f(X)$ is also
universally closed.

Thm: Projective varieties are
universally closed.

Cor: ① X proj, Y separated
 $f: X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow f(X) \subset Y$ closed.

② X proj. $Y = \mathbb{A}^1$
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \Rightarrow f(X) \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ finite

Pf: Consider $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ obtained
by f followed by $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$.

Then $f(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is closed.

So $f(X) = \mathbb{P}^1$ or finite

But $\infty \notin f(X)$ so $f(X) \neq \mathbb{P}^1$
so $f(X)$ must be finite.

③ X proj + connected

\Rightarrow All reg. fun. on X are
constant!

④ X proj, + conn. Y affine
 \Rightarrow all $X \rightarrow Y$ are constant.

"A proj variety can't map nontrivially
to an affine variety."

Applications :-

Consider $V_n = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]_n$
 $= \left\{ \sum_{i+j=n} a_{ij} X^i Y^j \right\}$
 $= (n+1)$ -dim V -space

$$\mathbb{P}V_n \cong \mathbb{P}^n$$

$\cup = \left\{ \text{Non-zero hom. poly of deg } n \right.$
 $\left. \text{up to scaling} \right\}$

$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \text{Polynomials with a repeated} \right.$
 $\left. \text{root} \right\}$.

Claim: $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is closed.

PF: Consider the map

$$m: \mathbb{P}V_1 \times \mathbb{P}V_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_n$$
$$F \times G \mapsto F^2 G.$$

Then m is regular and

$$D = \text{Image}(m).$$

□.

Translation -

$$\text{Consider } \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j = p$$

There are some polynomials in a_{ij} whose vanishing is equivalent to p having a double zero.

Ex. $n=2$

$$a x^2 + b x y + c y^2$$

$$D = V(b^2 - 4ac)$$

Ex. $n=3$

$$aX^3 + bXY^2 + cX^2Y + dY^3$$

$$D = V(\text{-----})$$



extremely complicated!

Same result for triple zeros, quadruple zeros etc.

"Having no repeated roots is a Zariski
open condition."

Moving on to more variables.

$$\text{Say } V_n = k[X, Y, Z]_n.$$

$$\mathbb{P}V_n = \mathbb{P}^N \quad N = \binom{n+2}{2} - 1$$

U

$$R = \{\text{Reducible polynomials}\}$$

Claim: $R \subset \mathbb{P}V_n$ is Zariski closed.

Pf: Consider the map

$$m_i: \mathbb{P}V_i \times \mathbb{P}V_{n-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_n$$
$$F \times G \mapsto FG$$

Then $\text{Im}(m_i) \subset \mathbb{P}V_n$ is closed,

$$R = \text{Im}(m_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Im}(m_{n-1})$$

$$\text{Im}(m_i) = \text{Poly. that factor as}$$
$$(\text{deg } i) \times (\text{deg } n-i).$$

□

Translation -

$$\text{Take } p = \sum a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k.$$

There are polynomial equations in a_{ijk} that detect whether p is reducible or irreducible.

"Being irreducible is a Zariski open condition!"

Singularities

$$\text{Let } F = \sum a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k \quad C = V(F)$$

Def: A point $p \in C$ is called a smooth point or a non-singular point if at least one $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$, or $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}$ is non-zero at p .

C is called smooth if all its points are smooth.

(motivation - over \mathbb{C}

if one of the partials is non-zero, then the implicit function theorem implies that C is a smooth manifold near p).

$\mathbb{P}V_n$

$$\cup = \{F \mid V(F) \text{ is smooth}\}.$$

Then $U \subset \mathbb{P}V_n$ is Zariski open.

"Smoothness is Zariski open."

Pf: Let $Z = \mathbb{P}V_n \setminus U$. We show that Z is closed.

Consider

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}V_n \supset W$$

$$\{ (p, F) \mid p \text{ is a sing pt of } V(F) \}$$

$$\left\{ ([p_0:p_1:p_2], [a_{ijk}]) \mid \begin{aligned} &\sum a_{ijk} p_0^i p_1^j p_2^k = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial x} : \sum i a_{ijk} p_0^{i-1} p_1^j p_2^k = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial y} : \sum j a_{ijk} p_0^i p_1^{j-1} p_2^k = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial z} : \sum k a_{ijk} p_0^i p_1^j p_2^{k-1} = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow W$ is closed.

$\Rightarrow Z = \text{Image}(W)$ is closed!



Translation - There are polynomial equations in a_{ijk} that detect whether $V(\sum a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k)$ is smooth.

Proof of main theorem: Projective var. are universally closed.

Reductions -

① Enough to show \mathbb{P}^n is universally closed.

② Want: $\pi: \mathbb{P}^n \times Y \rightarrow Y$ to send closed sets to closed sets.

Obs: $S \subset Y$ is closed iff for an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of Y , $S \cap U_i \subset U_i$ is closed.

So, by taking an affine cover $\{U_i\}$ of Y , it suffices to show

that the maps

$$\mathbb{P}^n \times U_i \rightarrow U_i$$

are closed.

③ By ②, suffices to treat the case where Y is affine.

Say $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$
closed.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n \times Y & \subset & \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m \\ \downarrow & \text{closed} & \downarrow \\ Y & \subset & \mathbb{A}^m \\ & \text{closed} & \end{array}$$

A closed $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times Y$ maps to a closed in Y iff $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$, which is also closed, maps to a closed in \mathbb{A}^m .

So, it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$$

is a closed map.

④ (Hard work step).

$Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$ closed.

$$\text{So } Z = V \left(\begin{array}{l} F_1(x_0, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots, t_m), \\ F_2(x_0, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots, t_m), \\ \vdots \\ F_\ell(x_0, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots, t_m) \end{array} \right)$$

where the F_i 's are homogeneous in x 's.

Image of Z

$$= \left\{ t \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} F_1(x, t) = 0 \\ F_\ell(x, t) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ has a solution in } \mathbb{P}^n \right\}.$$

Why is this Zariski closed?

Let's show that the complement is open.

Suppose $a \in \mathbb{A}^m$ is such that $\{F_i(x; a)\}$ has no solution in \mathbb{P}^n . Let $I_a = \langle F_i(x; a) \rangle$, $I_a \subset k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ ideal. By the projective Nullstellensatz, $\sqrt{I_a} = (x_0, \dots, x_m)$ or (1).

In any case $(x_0, \dots, x_m)^N \subset I_a$ for some N .

We claim that $(x_0, \dots, x_m)^N \subset I_t$ for all t in a Zariski neighborhood of a .

To prove the claim, let $d_i = \deg F_i$.

$$\text{Set } V_d = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$$

Consider the map of fin dim. v. spaces

$$M_t : V_{N-d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{N-d_r} \rightarrow V_N$$

$$(G_1, \dots, G_r) \mapsto F_1(x, t) G_1(x) + \dots + F_r(x, t) G_r(x).$$

This map depends polynomially on t . That is, if you represent it as a matrix, its entries are polynomials in t .

Now for $t=a$, M_t has full rank

(it is surjective).

$\Rightarrow \exists$ non-zero minor of size $\dim V_H \times \dim V_{K_1}$ in M_t . For $t=a$. Consider the Zariski open $U \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ defined by the non-vanishing of this minor. Then $a \in U$ and for all $t \in U$, the map M_t is surjective.

So for all $t \in U$, the ideal I_a contains

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n)^N, \text{ so } t \notin \text{Im}(Z).$$

□.