

SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS

Let G be a group and $N \triangleleft G$ a normal subgroup. We would like to understand the relation between G on one hand and the the two groups N and G/N on the other. A complete understanding still eludes us, so we will work under an additional assumption. We first need a definition.

Definition 1. Two subgroups N and H of G are called *complementary* if

- (1) $N \cap H = \{1\}$, and
- (2) $NH = G$, where $NH = \{nh \mid n \in N, h \in H\}$.

Proposition 2. Suppose N and H are two complementary subgroups of G . Then every $g \in G$ can be written uniquely as $g = nh$ where $n \in N$ and $h \in H$.

Proof. It follows from the definition that every g can be written this way. For the uniqueness, suppose $n_1h_1 = n_2h_2$. Then $n_2^{-1}n_1 = h_2h_1^{-1}$. But $n_2^{-1}n_1 \in N$, $h_2h_1^{-1} \in H$, and $N \cap H = \{1\}$. So $n_1 = n_2$ and $h_1 = h_2$. \square

The additional assumption we need is that the normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ admits a complementary subgroup $H \subset G$. Note that H need not be a normal subgroup.

Example 3. There are many examples where complementary subgroups exist.

- (1) Let $G = S_n$ and $N = A_n$. Then $H = \{\text{id}, \tau\}$ is a complementary subgroup, where τ is any transposition.
- (2) Let $G = D_n$ and let N be the subgroup of rotations in D_n . Then $H = \{\text{id}, r\}$ is a complementary subgroup, where r is any reflection in G .
- (3) Let $G = M$ be the group of all isometries of the plane and let N be the subgroup of translations. Then $H \cong O_2$ consisting of isometries fixing the origin is a complementary subgroup.
- (4) Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $N = G_1 \times \{1\}$. Then $H = \{1\} \times G_2$ is a complementary subgroup.
- (5) Let $G = O_2$ and $N = SO_2$. Then $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a complementary subgroup.
- (6) Let $G = O_3$ and $N = SO_3$. Then $H = \{I_3, -I_3\}$ is a complementary subgroup.

Example 4. There are also examples where a complementary subgroup does not exist.

- (1) Let $G = \mathbf{Z}_4$ and $N = \{[0], [2]\}$. Then N does not have a complementary subgroup.
- (2) Let $G = Q$ be the quaternion group and $N = \{\pm 1\}$. Then N does not have a complementary subgroup.

Proposition 5. Let $N \triangleleft G$. Then a subgroup $H \subset G$ is complementary to N if and only if the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/N$ restricted to H gives an isomorphism $H \rightarrow G/N$.

Thus, we can think of the complementary subgroup H as a copy of G/N in G .

Proof. Since the kernel of $G \rightarrow G/N$ is N , the kernel of $H \rightarrow G/N$ is $N \cap H$. Hence $H \rightarrow G/N$ is injective if and only if $N \cap H = \{1\}$.

Next, the right coset Ng is the image of h under the quotient map if and only if $Nh = Ng$. In turn, $Nh = Ng$ if and only if there is an $n \in N$ such that $g = nh$. Since elements of G/N are exactly the right cosets Ng , we conclude that $H \rightarrow G/N$ is surjective if and only if every $g \in G$ can be expressed as $g = nh$ for some $n \in N$ and $h \in H$.

Combining the two, we get that $N \cap H = \{1\}$ and $HN = G$ if and only if $H \rightarrow G/N$ is injective and surjective, that is, an isomorphism. \square

Example 6. Suppose $N \cong \mathbf{Z}_3$ and $H \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$. We find that there is more than one G that has N as its normal subgroup and H as its complementary subgroup:

- (1) $G = \mathbf{Z}_6$, with $N = \{[0], [2], [4]\}$ and $H = \{[0], [3]\}$.
- (2) $G = S_3$, with $N = A_3$ and $H = \{\text{id}, (12)\}$.

Example 6 shows that we need more information to identify G than just the information of N and H . What is this extra piece of information? I claim that this extra piece is a homomorphism

$$\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N.$$

Where does this ϕ come from? Suppose we have a G with $N \triangleleft G$ and complementary H . Let $h \in H$ and $n \in N$. Since N is normal, we have $hnh^{-1} \in N$. Thus, the rule $n \mapsto hnh^{-1}$ defines a function $\phi_h: N \rightarrow N$. Observe that the function ϕ_h is an automorphism of the group N . Furthermore, we have $\phi_{h_1h_2} = \phi_{h_1} \circ \phi_{h_2}$. Indeed, for $n \in N$, we have

$$\phi_{h_1h_2}(n) = h_1h_2n(h_1h_2)^{-1} = h_1(h_2nh_2^{-1})h_1^{-1} = \phi_{h_1} \circ \phi_{h_2}(n).$$

Thus the rule $h \mapsto \phi_h$ defines a homomorphism (which we denote by ϕ) from H to $\text{Aut } N$, the group of automorphisms of N .

Given N , H , and $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$, we can recover the group structure of G . To do so, we must describe how to multiply and take inverses in G . By Proposition 2 we can write elements of G uniquely as nh , for $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Then we have

$$n_1h_1 \cdot n_2h_2 = n_1h_1n_2h_1^{-1} \cdot h_1h_2 = n_1\phi_{h_1}(n_2) \cdot h_1h_2.$$

We have thus recovered the multiplication rule for G from the multiplication rules in N , H , and the function ϕ . We can also recover the inverse

$$(nh)^{-1} = h^{-1}n^{-1} = h^{-1}n^{-1}h \cdot h^{-1} = \phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}) \cdot h^{-1}.$$

So far, we saw that a group G with $N \triangleleft G$ and complementary H gives a homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ and this homomorphism, along with N and H , allows us to recover G . Suppose we now start with a group N , a group H , and a homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$, can we construct a G which has $N \triangleleft G$ and a complementary H where conjugation on N by elements of H corresponds exactly to ϕ ? The answer is yes! We build G as follows. Let the elements of G be (n, h) , where $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Let the multiplication rule be

$$(1) \quad (n_1, h_1) \cdot (n_2, h_2) = (n_1\phi_{h_1}(n_2), h_1h_2).$$

Proposition 7. *The above rule is associative, there is an identity, and every element has an inverse.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. You should not read it, but do it yourself. Let us check associativity:

$$\begin{aligned}
((n_1, h_1) \cdot (n_2, h_2)) \cdot (n_3, h_3) &= ((n_1 \phi_{h_1}(n_2), h_1 h_2)(n_3, h_3)) \\
&= (n_1 \phi_{h_1}(n_2) \phi_{h_1 h_2}(n_3), h_1 h_2 h_3) \\
&= (n_1 \phi_{h_1}(n_2) \phi_{h_1} \circ \phi_{h_2}(n_3), h_1 h_2 h_3) \\
&= (n_1 \phi_{h_1}(n_2 \phi_{h_2}(n_3)), h_1 h_2 h_3) \\
&= (n_1, h_1) \cdot (n_2 \phi_{h_2}(n_3), h_2 h_3) \\
&= (n_1, h_1) \cdot ((n_2, h_2) \cdot (n_3, h_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

The identity is $(1, 1)$, because

$$\begin{aligned}
(n, h) \cdot (1, 1) &= (n \phi_h(1), h) = (n, h) \\
(1, 1) \cdot (n, h) &= (\phi_1(n), h) = (n, h).
\end{aligned}$$

The inverse of (n, h) is $(\phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}), h^{-1})$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
(n, h) \cdot (\phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}), h^{-1}) &= (n \phi_h \circ \phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}), h h^{-1}) = (1, 1) \\
(\phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}), h^{-1}) \cdot (n, h) &= (\phi_h^{-1}(n^{-1}) \phi_{h^{-1}}(n), h^{-1} h) = (1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 8. The group defined by the multiplication rule in Equation 1 is called the *semidirect product* of N and H via ϕ , and denoted by $N \rtimes_{\phi} H$.

Remark 9. Suppose the homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ is trivial. Then $N \rtimes_{\phi} H$ is isomorphic to the direct product $N \times H$. Indeed, in this case the multiplication rule (Equation 1) becomes

$$(n_1, h_1) \cdot (n_2, h_2) = (n_1 n_2, h_1 h_2).$$

Note that the map $N \rtimes_{\phi} H \rightarrow H$ defined by $(n, h) \mapsto h$ is a homomorphism. Its kernel is $\{(n, 1) \mid n \in N\}$, which is isomorphic to N . The set $\{(1, h) \mid h \in H\}$ forms a complementary subgroup. Thus, $N \rtimes_{\phi} H$ has a copy of N as a normal subgroup and a copy of H as a complementary subgroup. Finally, we check that in $N \rtimes_{\phi} H$, the homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ comes from conjugation:

$$(1, h) \cdot (n, 1) \cdot (1, h)^{-1} = (1, h) \cdot (n, 1) \cdot (1, h^{-1}) = (\phi_h(n), h) \cdot (1, h^{-1}) = (\phi_h(n), 1).$$

We can summarize the whole discussion in the following theorem.

Theorem 10. Let G be a group with a normal subgroup N and a complementary subgroup H . Conjugation by elements of H gives a homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ and we have an isomorphism $N \rtimes_{\phi} H \cong G$ defined by $(n, h) \mapsto nh$.

Conversely, given N , H , and a homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$, we can construct a group G with N as a normal subgroup and H as a complementary subgroup such that ϕ is given by conjugation by elements of H .

Example 11. Let us identify the ϕ in some of the examples from Example 3 and thus exhibit them as semidirect products.

- (1) Let $G = D_n$, $N \cong C_n$, the subgroup of rotations, and $H = \{\text{id}, r\} \cong C_2$ be the complementary subgroup generated by a reflection r . Observe that $\phi_{\text{id}} = \text{id}$ and $\phi_r(x) = rxr^{-1} = x^{-1}$. Thus $\phi: C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut } C_n$ is the homomorphism that sends the generator of C_2 to the automorphism $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ of C_n . Thus we have

$$D_n \cong C_n \rtimes_{\phi} C_2.$$

- (2) Let $G = M$, the group of isometries of the plane, $N = T \cong \mathbf{R}^2$, the subgroup of translations and $H \cong O_2$ be the complementary subgroup of isometries fixing the origin. Then $\phi_A(t_v) = At_vA^{-1} = t_{Av}$. Thus, $\phi: O_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathbf{R}^2$ is simply the homomorphism that sends the matrix A to the automorphism of \mathbf{R}^2 defined by left multiplication by A . Thus we have

$$M \cong \mathbf{R}^n \rtimes_{\phi} O_2.$$

- (3) Let $G = O_3$, $N = SO_3$, and $H = \{I, -I\} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$. Note that conjugation by either I or $-I$ is the identity operation, and thus the homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ in this case is trivial. We thus get

$$O_3 \cong SO_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Example 12. Let us construct a group as a semidirect product. Let $N = \mathbf{Z}$, $H = \mathbf{Z}_2$ and let $\phi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ be the homomorphism that sends $[0]$ to the identity automorphism of N and $[1]$ to the automorphism of N given by $n \mapsto -n$. We then get a group

$$G = \mathbf{Z} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Note that G is not abelian. We have

$$(m, [0]) \cdot (n, [1]) = (m + \phi_{[0]}(n), [1]) = (m + n, [1]),$$

but

$$(n, [1]) \cdot (m, [0]) = (n + \phi_{[1]}(m), [1]) = (n - m, [1]).$$

In particular, G is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}_2$; it is something new!

We may wonder whether we have seen G before. Consider the group G' of isometries of the infinite pattern

$$\dots \text{T T T T T T T T} \dots$$

Let N' be the normal subgroup of G' consisting of translations. Then $N' \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Let r be the reflection in a vertical line. Then $H' = \{\text{id}, r\}$ forms a subgroup complementary to N' . Denoting by t_n the translation by n , we see that

$$rt_nr^{-1} = t_{-n}.$$

Hence the homomorphism $H' \rightarrow \text{Aut } N'$ given by conjugation corresponds exactly to the ϕ we had above. We thus realize that

$$G' \cong \mathbf{Z} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

REFERENCES

[1] David Dummit and Richard Foote *Abstract Algebra*, 3E John Wiley & Sons 2004.
 [2] Walter Neumann *Notes on Semidirect Products*, www.math.columbia.edu/~bayer/S09/ModernAlgebra/semidirect.pdf