

## ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION: MIDTERM 2 PRACTICE PROBLEMS SOLUTIONS

SPRING 2016

### PRACTICE PROBLEM SOLUTIONS

At times, I have only written the final answer or only sketched the solution. Let me know if something is unclear. I will add more explanation.

- (1) Write the definition of a convex function. Let  $f(\vec{x})$  and  $g(\vec{x})$  be two convex functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Using the definition, show that the function  $h(\vec{x})$  defined by

$$h(\vec{x}) = \max(f(\vec{x}), g(\vec{x}))$$

is also convex.

*Solution.* A function  $h$  is convex if for every  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  in the domain and  $\lambda$  in  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq h(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}).$$

Let us show that  $h = \max(f, g)$  is convex by verifying the above inequality. Since  $h(\vec{x}) \geq f(\vec{x})$  and  $h(\vec{y}) \geq f(\vec{y})$ , we have

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq \lambda f(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\vec{y}).$$

Since  $f$  is convex, we have

$$\lambda f(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\vec{y}) \geq f(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}).$$

Combining the two inequalities gives

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq f(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}).$$

Similarly we get

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq g(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}).$$

Combining the last two inequalities gives

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq \max(f(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}), g(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y})),$$

which is the same as

$$\lambda h(\vec{x}) + (1 - \lambda)h(\vec{y}) \geq h(\lambda\vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y}).$$

□

- (2) Use Jensen's inequality to prove that for positive real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , we have

$$\sqrt[3]{\frac{x_1^3 + \dots + x_n^3}{n}} \geq \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}.$$

*Solution.* Use Jensen's inequality for  $f(x) = x^3$  (which is convex for  $x > 0$ ) with all  $\lambda_i = 1/n$ . □

- (3) Find the global minimum and maximum of the function  $f(x, y) = 2x^3 + 4y^3$  on the set  $S = \{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$  by the following outline.

- (a) Show that the maximum and the minimum exists.

*Solution.*  $f$  is a continuous function on a compact set  $S$ , so the max/min exist by the maximum theorem. □

- (b) Using the gradient, find the possible points where the max/min could be achieved on the interior  $\{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ .

*Solution.* In the interior, the max/min can only be achieved when the gradient is equal to zero. The gradient is zero only at  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ . □

- (c) Using Lagrange multipliers, find the possible points where the max/min could be achieved on the boundary  $\{x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ .

*Solution.* The Lagrange multiplier problem is

$$\begin{aligned} 6x^2 &= 2\lambda x \\ 12y^2 &= 2\lambda y \\ x^2 + y^2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solutions are  $(x, y) = (0, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0), (2/\sqrt{5}, 1/\sqrt{5}), (-2/\sqrt{5}, -1/\sqrt{5})$ . □

- (d) Check all the possibilities.

*Solution.* The max is at  $(0, 1)$  and min at  $(0, -1)$ . □

- (4) Let  $A$  be the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) Write down the quadratic form  $Q(x, y, z)$  associated with  $A$ .

*Solution.*

$$Q(x, y, z) = 2x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2 - 2xy - 2yz$$

□

- (b) Show that the function  $f(x, y, z) = e^{Q(x, y, z)}$  is strictly convex.

*Solution.* First,  $Q$  is strictly convex because the leading principal minors are positive. Now  $e^{Q(x, y, z)}$  is the composition of a strictly convex function with a strictly increasing strictly convex function. □

- (5) Check if the following equation defines  $z$  as a function  $z = g(x, y)$  in a neighborhood of  $(0, 0, 1)$ . If it does, find  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$  at  $(0, 0, 1)$ .

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - xyz - 1 = 0.$$

- (6) The same question at  $(1, 0, 0)$  for the equation

$$e^z - z^2 - x^2 - y^2 = 0.$$

(7) Consider the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (x + y)u - (2 + u)^{1+v} &= 0 \\ 2u - (1 + xy)e^{u(x-1)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Show that it defines  $u$  and  $v$  as functions of  $x$  and  $y$  near the point  $(x, y, u, v) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ . Find  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$  at this point.

*Solution.* The three problems above are applications of the implicit function theorem and the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}\right) \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial X}\right) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}\right),$$

where  $F(X, Y) = 0$  is the constraint equation and where the goal is to write  $Y$  as a function of  $X$ . By the implicit function theorem, this is possible if the  $m \times m$  matrix  $\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}\right)$  is invertible.

For example, denote the two equations in the last problem by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial(f_1, f_2)}{\partial(u, v)}\right)_{(1,1,1,0)} &= \begin{pmatrix} x + y - (1 + v)(2 + u)^v & -(2 + u)^{1+v} \ln(2 + u) \\ 2 - (1 + xy)(x - 1)e^{u(x-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1,1,1,0)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \ln 3 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is invertible. Therefore, it is possible to write  $u$  and  $v$  as functions of  $x$  and  $y$  around  $(1, 1, 1, 0)$ . To find the partials, solve

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \ln 3 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial u / \partial x & \partial u / \partial y \\ \partial v / \partial x & \partial v / \partial y \end{pmatrix} &= - \begin{pmatrix} u & u \\ -u(1 + xy)e^{u(x-1)} - ye^{u(x-1)} & -xe^{u(x-1)} \end{pmatrix}_{(1,1,1,0)} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \ln 3 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial u / \partial x & \partial u / \partial y \\ \partial v / \partial x & \partial v / \partial y \end{pmatrix} &= - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

(8) Write down a function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with a critical point at  $(0, 0)$  which is neither a local minimum nor a local maximum.

*Solution.* The easiest is to write down an indefinite quadratic form like  $x^2 - y^2$ . □

(9) Write down a function whose gradient at  $(0, 0)$  is  $(1, 3)$  and whose Hessian is  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Solution.*

$$x + 3y + \frac{1}{2}(2x^2 + 2xy + 8y^2)$$

□

(10) Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find an orthogonal matrix  $P$  such that  $P^TAP$  is diagonal.

*Solution.* The columns of  $P$  will be the *unit eigenvectors* of  $A$ . □

(11) State the spectral theorem.

*Solution.* For every symmetric matrix  $A$ , there exists an orthogonal matrix  $P$  such that  $P^TAP$  is diagonal.

You may also state it using eigenvectors – every symmetric matrix has an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors. □

(12) Let  $f(x, y, z) = \sin(x + 2y)e^{z-y}$ . Find the gradient and the Hessian of  $f$ . Write the second order Taylor approximation for  $f$  at  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

(13) Consider the function

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 - xy + 2xz + yz.$$

Find all critical points and use the second derivative test to determine if each one is a local minimum, local maximum, or neither (or say that the test cannot determine the answer).

(14) Suppose a differentiable convex function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  has a global maximum at a point  $\vec{p}$ . Show that  $f$  must be a constant function.

*Solution.* Since  $f$  is convex, the graph of  $f$  lies above the tangent (hyper)plane at any point on the graph. But the tangent (hyper)plane at  $\vec{p}$  is horizontal (since  $\vec{p}$  is a maximum), and the graph of  $f$  cannot lie strictly above this hyperplane (since  $\vec{p}$  is a maximum). So the graph must be this (hyper)plane. In other words,  $f$  is constant.

A less wordy and more math-y (and rigorous) way to write the above is as follows. Since  $f$  is convex, we have the inequality

$$f(\vec{x}) \geq f(\vec{p}) + \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{p}).$$

Since  $\vec{p}$  is a maximum,  $\nabla f(\vec{p}) = 0$ , so we get

$$f(\vec{x}) \geq f(\vec{p}).$$

But since  $\vec{p}$  is a maximum, we cannot have strict inequality, so we get

$$f(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{p})$$

for all  $\vec{x}$ . So  $f$  is constant. □